Garrett Academy of Technology

2731 Gordon Street North Charleston, SC 29405

Grades 9–12 High School

Enrollment 702 Students

Principal David S. Parsons 843–745–7126

Superintendent Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

Board Chair Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006_F

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 3 9 8 7

IMPROVEMENT RATING

EXCELLENT

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Average	Average	No					
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					
2006	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
	Our School			'	h Schools w			
				Stu	dents Like O	urs		
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	78.7	74.8	77.6	64.3	60.2	64.5		
Passed 1 subtest	16.8	17.4	15.8	17.9	19.0	16.1		
Passed no subtests	4.6	7.7	6.6	21.3	20.8	20.0		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	97.9%	88.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	2.2	3.7
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	2.2	3.8
Seniors who met the grade point average	34.8	37.3

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	148	158
Number of Diplomas	142	110
Rate	95.9%	71.8%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	84.0	71.5
English 1	66.5	54.1
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	66.7	43.0
Physical Science	32.5	28.6
All Subjects	59.7	49.3

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola	for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	188	97.9	138	2.2	142	95.9	Yes	
Gender								
Male	66	95.5	43	7.0	52	88.5	N/A	
Female	122	99.2	95	0.0	96	100.0	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	8	100.0	6	16.7	6	100.0	N/A	
African American	176	97.7	130	1.5	140	95.7	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	2	100.0	1	0.0	1	100.0	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	1	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	176	99.4	134	2.2	139	98.6	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	12	75.0	4	0.0	9	55.6	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	188	97.9	138	2.2	148	95.9	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	188	97.9	138	2.2	148	95.9	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	145	98.6	110	95.5	110	95.5	N/A	
Full-pay meals	43	95.3	44	2.3	38	97.4	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

		MAN		

	OUP /_	- /	Ι.	. 1	- /	- /	g	~ <i>I</i>	1
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	ر. ا	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Object
	, ja e	ž / ž	1 10	% Basic	/ 🐉	/ frai			
	Jour to A	%	Be	/ %	/ %	/ %	\\ \G_{\bar{e}}^{\bar{e}}		Part
			% 		1		% A	` 0	/ '0
All Students	nglish/Lan 183	guage Art 99.5	s - State 6.6	Performa 43.1	nce Object	13.8	60.8	Yes	Yes
Gender	100	00.0	0.0	10.1	00.0	10.0	00.0	100	100
Male	74	98.6	8.3	43.1	37.5	11.1	58.3	N/A	N/A
Female	109	100.0	5.5	43.1	35.8	15.6	62.4	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		100.0	0.0	1011	00.0	10.0	02.1	1 47 1	1471
White	10	100.0	N/A	30.0	70.0	N/A	80.0	I/S	I/S
African American	172	99.4	6.5	44.1	34.7	14.7	60.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		IN//	IN/A	: 1//	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/0	1/3
Not Disabled	169	99.4	3.6	43.1	38.3	15.0	64.1	N/A	N/A
Disabled	14	100.0	42.9	42.9	14.3	N/A	21.4	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	14	100.0	42.3	42.3	14.0	IN/A	21.4	1/0	1/0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	183	99.5	6.6	43.1	36.5	13.8	60.8	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	103	99.5	0.0	43.1	30.3	13.0	00.0	IN/A	IN/A
Limited English Proficient	1 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	183	99.5	6.6	43.1	36.5	13.8	60.8	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	103	99.5	0.0	43.1	30.3	13.0	00.0	IN/A	IN/A
Subsidized meals	129	100.0	7.0	45.0	33.3	14.7	58.1	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	54	98.1	5.8	38.5	44.2	11.5	67.3	N/A	N/A
, ,	•				•		07.3	I IN/A	I IN/A
	Mathemati								
All Students	183	99.5	20.4	44.8	29.8	5.0	55.2	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	74	98.6	22.2	37.5	31.9	8.3	55.6	N/A	N/A
Female	109	100.0	19.3	49.5	28.4	2.8	55.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group				,				,	
White	10	100.0	N/A	80.0	10.0	10.0	50.0	I/S	I/S
African American	172	99.4	21.2	42.9	31.2	4.7	55.9	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	169	99.4	18.0	45.5	31.7	4.8	57.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	14	100.0	50.0	35.7	7.1	7.1	28.6	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	183	99.5	20.4	44.8	29.8	5.0	55.2	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	183	99.5	20.4	44.8	29.8	5.0	55.2	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	129	100.0	20.9	45.7	29.5	3.9	55.8	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	54	98.1	19.2	42.3	30.8	7.7	53.8	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School		inge from ast Year	Hie Sche with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 702)						
Retention rate Attendance rate	0.4% 95.9%		om 1.7% om 96.0%		9.1% 95.1%	7.0% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	4.6%	Down fr	om 4.7%		3.5%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	5.9%	Down fr	om 6.8%		15.3%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade	3.1%		om 3.8%		13.2%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	6.4%	Up from	4.0%		1.9%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	11.2% N/A		om 12.9%		5.4% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	2.2%				4.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	0.1%	Down fr	om 0.4%		3.2%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	16.0%	Up from			4.9%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	687	Down fr	om 696		286	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	100.0%	ı '			20.4%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	72.4%	Down fr	om 74.5%		79.5%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 66)	97.3% ents.	Down fr	om 98.0%	l	98.6%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.0%	Up from	42.9%		48.3%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	ο μ σ	12.0 /0		N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.7%	N/A			12.6%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.8%	Down fr	om 11.3%		14.9%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	88.7%	Up from			82.3%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	96.6%				95.2%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$42,681 8.9 days		om 9.8 days		41,107 .6 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	1.0	No char			2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	25.0 to 1	Down fr	om 25.3 to 1	23	3.5 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.4%	Up from			88.6%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,891	Up 5.5%			\$7,652	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	49.9%	Down fr	om 60.0%		52.4%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	66.3%				61.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No char			Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	34.3%	Down from 58.9%			95.0%	92.8%
SACS accreditation Character development	Yes Good	Up from No No change				Yes Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	0000	110 onai	•	District	0000	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high	ly qualified teache	ers		0.7%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				0.5%		10.2%
01 11 11 11 11 11	4		State Object	ctive	Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	tnis school		0.0%			No

Abbreviations for Missing Data

94.0%*

Yes

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Garrett Academy of Technology is a county-wide, comprehensive magnet high school focused on rigorous and relevant instruction in both academic and technical courses of study. Students select Applied, College Prep, Honors, or AP classes, as well as choosing one of eighteen Career and Technology majors. Graduates are fully prepared for post-secondary education or the pursuit of a career in the workplace or military service.

Instruction is geared toward achieving State Standards and relating academic lessons to real world experiences. Teachers work in departments to develop common assessments and the sequence of instruction, analyze results from MAP testing, and target students in need of assistance. Instructional grade clusters meet to plan interdisciplinary lessons and to share best practices with their co-teachers. Students participate in School-to-Career co-op and internship placements, providing hands-on work experiences in their chosen career fields.

Many students come to high school unprepared for the rigor of academic classes. Programs are established to assist students during the school day, after school, and during the summer. Students testing Below Basic are scheduled into Essentials of Math and Reading classes in addition to regular classes to provide extra support in basic skills. Students receive individual tutoring through the daily Homework Center and Morning Math Lab. A summer Gear-Up program assists rising ninth graders to transition into high school.

Maintaining a safe and orderly school environment is our first obligation, so that teachers can teach and students can learn. The school-wide discipline policy is established to be firm, fair, and consistent in dealing with problem behaviors. Our staff meets weekly with small groups of students in Advisory Teams to work on character development and social skills. A Conflict Resolution/Peer Mediation program is run through Guidance to assist students in solving conflicts productively. Involvement of parents is essential in reinforcing expectations for appropriate behavior.

The school has strong business and industry support through eighteen Business Advisory Committees and Business Partners' participation in the School Improvement Council. Students belong to professional organizations, such as VICA, DECA, and HOSA, and participate in annual competitions at the regional, state, and national level through their Career and Technology programs.

Garrett Academy is an active participant in the High-Schools-That-Work network. This school improvement model focuses on data analysis of student performance and evaluation of instructional practices. Following the Charleston Plan for Excellence, the faculty and staff will continue to work with the School Improvement Council to involve all stakeholders in evaluating program effectiveness, implementing necessary changes, and challenging students to perform at their highest potential.

David Parsons, Principal Richard Slonim, School Improvement Council Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	63	114	65
Percent satisfied with learning environment	90.5%	72.8%	92.2%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	91.9%	77.0%	83.1%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	74.6%	83.2%	76.6%

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.